JS 44 (Rev. 10/20)

Case 2:22-cv-02814-JP Document 1 Filed 07/19/22 Page 1 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil of	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	ICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE	OF THIS FO	ORM.)	, ,	13 10441	red for the use o.	I the Clerk of C	ourt 101 t	пе
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDAN	TS			1-19-1		
MICHAEL CAP	OBIANCO			OFFICER WINCKLER BADGE 6880						
(h) G										
	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (Philadelphia		County of Residen						
(E	ACEFT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (ASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
				THE TRA	ACT OF L	AND IN	VOLVED.	HE LOCATION	OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numi	oer)		Attorneys (If Know	wn)					
	irm, 1500 JFK Blvd									
Philadelphia, PA	19102. 267.546.9	066.								
II DAGIG OF HIDYOR	YATTAL.		, 1							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" is	One Box Only)	III. CIT	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINC	CIPAI	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box fo	r Plaintiff
U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases On	lly) PTF	DEF		and One Box for I	Defendant) PTF	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citize	n of This State			Incorporated or Pr		<u> </u>	☐4
							of Business In T	This State		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity		Citizer	n of Another State	<u> </u>	_ 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place	☐ 5	5
Detendant	(Indicate Citizens)	hip of Parties in Item III)					of Business In A	Another State		
					3	<u></u> 3	Foreign Nation		☐ 6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	7 ml		Fore	eign Country						
CONTRACT		nly) ORTS	FO	DESCRIPTION AND A TAX			or: Nature of S			
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		RFEITURE/PENALTY Drug Related Seizure		-	RUPTCY		STATUTI	ES
120 Marine	310 Airplane	365 Personal Injury -	1 H 023	of Property 21 USC 88		22 Appe 23 With	al 28 USC 158 drawal	375 False C 376 Qui Tar		1
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	690	Other			SC 157	3729(a))) `	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical			PE	ROPERT	TY RIGHTS	400 State Re		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander	Personal Injury	1		82	20 Соруг	rights	430 Banks a		g
152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			-	30 Patent	t t - Abbreviated	450 Comme 460 Deporta		
Student Loans	340 Marine	Injury Product	-		L.		Drug Application	470 Rackete		ced and
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERS	cv -	LABOR		40 Trade		Corrupt	Organizati	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	the same of the sa	LABOR Fair Labor Standards	88	80 Defen Act of	d Trade Secrets f 2016	480 Consum	ner Credit C 1681 or 1	1692)
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending		Act				485 Telepho		
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal Property Damage	720	Labor/Management Relations			SECURITY	Protecti		
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage	740	Railway Labor Act		61 HIA (62 Black	Lung (923)	490 Cable/Si 850 Securitie		dities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	751	Family and Medical	86	63 DIWC	C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan	ge	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		Leave Act Other Labor Litigation		64 SSID 65 RSI (4	Title XVI	890 Other St 891 Agricult		tions
210 Land Condemnation	* 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	791	Employee Retirement		os 101 (4	(B))	893 Environ		tters
220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate		Income Security Act			TAX SUITS	895 Freedom	of Inform	ation
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence	1		L 87		(U.S. Plaintiff fendant)	Act 896 Arbitrati	ion	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations	530 General			87	71 IRS—	Third Party	899 Adminis	strative Pro	
	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:		IMMIGRATION Naturalization Application	ion	26 US	SC 7609		iew or App	eal of
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	r465	Other Immigration	lon			Agency 950 Constitu		f
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Actions				State Sta	tutes	
ĺ		560 Civil Detainee -			1					
		Conditions of Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)									
		Remanded from	4 Reinsta	ated or 5 Trans	ferred fro	om _	7 6 Multidistric	t [8 1	Multidistr	ict
Proceeding State		Appellate Court	Reoper	(speci			Litigation - Transfer	, L	Litigation Direct File	-
U. CAUGE OF ACTIO	142 USC 1983.	tute under which you are	filing (Do	not cite jurisdictional st	tatutes unl	less diver	rsity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca									
/II. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEN	MAND \$		CHE	ECK YES only if	f demanded in a	complaint	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23		250,				RY DEMAND:		∏No	•
III. RELATED CASE	(S)			**						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DC	OCKET	NUMBER			
ATE /19/2022		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF	RECORD			-			
OR OFFICE USE ONLY										
	DUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUDO	Œ		

Case 2:22-cv-02814-JRITED GAMES DISTRICT COURT 19/22 Page 2 of 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

Address of Plaintiff: c/o O'Hanlon	Law Firm, 1500 JFK Blvd, Ste	1410, Phila. PA 19102
Address of Defendant:	10.	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Philadelp	hia
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
	ge:	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any	y of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier nu previously terminated action in this court?	imbered suit pending or within one year	Yes No 🗸
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow of pending or within one year previously terminated action	out of the same transaction as a prior suit on in this court?	Yes No 🗸
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a numbered case pending or within one year previously to	Yes No V	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social case filed by the same individual?	al security appeal, or pro se civil rights	Yes No 🗸
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case \Box is / \bullet this court except as noted above.	is not related to any case now pending or w	vithin one year previously terminated action in
DATE: 7/19/22	Must sign here	208428
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)
CIVIL: (Place a $$ in one category only)		
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Ca	ases:
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 	ner Contracts	ct and Other Contracts 1 Injury
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I	ct and Other Contracts 1 Injury ion Injury
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In	ct and Other Contracts ! Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury ujury (Please specify):
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamate 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability	ct and Other Contracts ! Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury ujury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability	ct and Other Contracts ! Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury ujury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit	ct and Other Contracts ! Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury ujury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit	ct and Other Contracts ! Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury ujury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	ner Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamat 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit	ct and Other Contracts 1 Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y — Asbestos ty Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this of Stephen O'Hanlon	are Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamate 4. Marine Personal I 5. Motor Vehicle Pe 6. Other Personal In 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversit (Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION	ct and Other Contracts 1 Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y — Asbestos ty Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this of Stephen O'Hanlon	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Certification is to remove the case from eligibility for frecord or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dars.	ct and Other Contracts I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y - Asbestos ry Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this of the country of the count	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Certification is to remove the case from eligibility for frecord or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dars.	ct and Other Contracts I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y - Asbestos cy Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this of Stephen O'Hanlon I,	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Certification is to remove the case from eligibility for frecord or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: to the best of my knowledge and belief, the dars.	ct and Other Contracts I Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y - Asbestos cy Cases
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Oth 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this of Stephen O'Hanlon I,	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Certification is to remove the case from eligibility for frecord or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify: to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damest and costs:	ct and Other Contracts 1 Injury ion Injury ersonal Injury jury (Please specify): y y - Asbestos ty Cases or arbitration.) mages recoverable in this civil action case

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICHAEL CAPOBIANCO,

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

NO.

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

POLICE OFFICER WINCKLER (BADGE # 6880, 8TH POLICE DISTRICT),

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff, Michael Capobianco, by and through his undersigned counsel of the O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C., hereby demands a trial by jury and complains against the above-captioned Defendant in his individual capacity as follows:

Statement of Claim and Jurisdiction

- 1. This is an action for money damages brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution, against Defendant Officer Winckler in his individual capacity. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 2. It is alleged that the individual police officer Defendant Winckler ("Defendant") under color of law made an unreasonable seizure of the person of Plaintiff, violating his rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution and Defendant also engaged in excessive force also violating Plaintiff's rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Parties

- 3. Michael Capobianco (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a citizen of the United States and at all times relevant to the claims arising herein, was citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and resident of Philadelphia County.
- 4. Defendant Winckler, was at all times relevant to this Complaint duly appointed and acting officer of the Police Department of the City of Philadelphia, acting under color of law, to wit, under color of the statutes, ordinances, regulations, policies, customs, and usages of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and/or the City of Philadelphia.

Facts

- 5. On or about February 5, 2022, Plaintiff, who is a Liquor Restaurant and Bar license holder, appropriately parked his vehicle in front of his designated store at Pennsylvania Wine & Spirits located at Franklin Mills in Philadelphia.
- 6. Plaintiff parked in the assigned and appropriate parking place for liquor license holders.
- 7. Plaintiff saw Defendant writing a ticket for Plaintiff's vehicle outside the store. Plaintiff explained to Defendant that he was in the business for 25 years. Defendant told Plaintiff that Plaintiff should have a special license on his vehicle. Plaintiff told Defendant that this was untrue and Defendant had been made aware of this previously.
- 8. Plaintiff and Defendant entered the store and the store clerk showed Defendant that Plaintiff had a liquor license for Plaintiff's home store and it was explained that Plaintiff was parked appropriately.
 - 9. Plaintiff was never combative and never threatened Defendant.
 - 10. Despite this, Defendant pulled and dragged Plaintiff out of the store.

- 11. Defendant caused injury to Plaintiff's shoulder which has necessitated continuing physical therapy.
- 12. Plaintiff had not committed any crime and was not a suspect. Defendant had neither reasonable suspicion to detain nor probable cause to seize Plaintiff. There was no legal basis for any seizure.
- 13. Plaintiff was not violent and did not in any way threaten Defendant. Despite this, Defendant pulled and dragged Plaintiff out of the store, causing injury to Plaintiff with treatment continuing to this day, more than five months after the incident. Plaintiff asked Defendant to get off Plaintiff and Plaintiff informed Defendant that he could not physically assault Plaintiff for no reason.
- 14. After Plaintiff was dragged outside, Plaintiff requested that Defendant call a supervisor. Plaintiff asked Defendant for his name. Defendant refused to call a supervisor and Defendant refused to give Plaintiff Defendant's name.
- 15. Plaintiff called 911 and a supervisor came. The supervisor told Plaintiff that he should go to the 8th Police District to report the incident and Plaintiff did so.
- 16. Defendant knew, or should have known based on the facts, that Plaintiff did not commit any crime or offense for which an arrest or seizure may be lawfully made, yet Defendant still proceeded to seize and detain Plaintiff.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress and physical injury to his shoulder.
- 18. The illegal and unlawful seizure of Plaintiff, particularly in light of the fact that Defendant had full knowledge of the lack of any legitimate cause or justification, was

3

intentional, malicious, reckless and in bad faith. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer mental anguish.

- 19. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff also suffered physical injury requiring ongoing medical treatment.
- 20. The actions of Defendant, acting under color of state law, deprived Plaintiff of his rights under the Constitution of the United States; in particular, the right, to be secure in his person.
- 21. By these actions, Defendant has deprived Plaintiff of rights secured by the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 22. The actions of Defendant were malicious, illegal, unlawful, and directed at depriving Plaintiff of his constitutional rights.

Causes of Action

COUNT ONE: 42 U.S.C. § 1983 – FOURTH AMENDMENT - ILLEGAL SEIZURE — AGAINST DEFENDANT

- 23. Paragraphs 1 through 22 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 24. Defendant caused Plaintiff to be detained and seized in violation of his right to be secure in his person against unreasonable searches and seizures as guaranteed to him under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 25. Defendant violated Plaintiff's constitutional Fourth Amendment rights to be free from unreasonable detention and seizure guaranteed by the United States Constitution.
- 26. Defendant physically seized Plaintiff by pulling and dragging Plaintiff and not allowing Plaintiff to leave.

4

- 27. Plaintiff remained in the confines of the police until Defendant and his supervisor decided to release Plaintiff. As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff was detained without a reasonable suspicion, probable cause, and without a valid warrant.
- 28. As a result of the Defendant's conduct, Defendant is liable for Plaintiff's injuries because Defendant was an integral participant in the unreasonable detention and seizure.
- 29. All of the aforementioned acts of the Defendant were carried out under the color of state law and by Defendant in his capacity as a police officer, with all actual and/or apparent authority afforded thereto.
- 30. All of the aforementioned acts deprived Plaintiff of the rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983, including right to be free from unreasonable search and seizure.
- 31. Defendant's conduct was willful, wanton, malicious, and done with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights and safety, and therefore warrants the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 32. Plaintiff should, therefore, be compensated for the violation of his Fourth Amendment rights.

COUNT TWO: FOURTH AMENDMENT – EXCESSIVE FORCE — AGAINST DEFENDANT

- 33. Paragraphs 1 through 32 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 34. Plaintiff was subjected to a seizure within the meaning of the Fourth Amendment through the application of force.

- 35. The application of force against the Plaintiff was unreasonable under the circumstances and unconstitutionally excessive.
- 36. The Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution protects persons from being subjected to excessive force while being seized even if the seizure is otherwise proper.
- 37. Defendant, acting under color of state law, viciously and vilely attacked the Plaintiff for no reason causing extensive physical injuries to Plaintiff's body.
- 38. The named Defendant used excessive force in the seizure of the Plaintiff in that there was absolutely no need for the application of any force, and in view of the fact that the amount of force actually used by Defendant exceeded the amount of force which a reasonable officer would have used under similar circumstances.
- 39. Accordingly, no physical force of any kind was required or should have been employed against the Plaintiff here.
- 40. Plaintiff did not present any threat to Defendant or any other persons or property when he was assaulted.
 - 41. Suddenly and without warning, Defendant viciously grabbed and pulled Plaintiff.
- 42. The quick and explosive force of Defendant's brutal attack on Plaintiff caused Plaintiff's shoulder to be injured. Plaintiff was immediately overcome with pain.
- 43. Plaintiff suffered extensive injuries that require ongoing physical therapy treatment.
- 44. The use of force was not reasonable under the Constitution where, as here, there was no need for any force at all, and most especially the force that was used.
- 45. Defendant had and has a personal involvement in the infringement of Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights. Defendant was acting under color of state law and his actions were

6

done by Defendant in his capacity as a police officer, with all actual and/or apparent authority afforded thereto.

- 46. Defendant intentionally engaged in the use of excessive force against Plaintiff whereby they viciously and criminally assaulted Plaintiff. Such conduct is outrageous, willful, wanton, malicious, and done with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights and safety, and therefore warrants the imposition of exemplary and punitive damages to punish and deter the Defendant and others like the Defendant from further wrongdoing.
- 47. Therefore, Plaintiff should be compensated for the violation of his Fourth Amendment rights not to be subjected to excessive use of government/police force.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court:

- a. Award compensatory damages to Plaintiff against Defendant;
- b. Award costs of this action to Plaintiff;
- c. Award reasonable attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiff;
- d. Award punitive damages to Plaintiff because of the wanton conduct of Defendant and to deter such actions in the future especially because Defendant had no justification for his actions and abused his office to engage in baseless bullying;
 - e. Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial.

Respectfully submitted,

The O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C.

STEPHEN T. O'HANLON, ESQUIRE

DATE: July 19, 2022

The O'Hanlon Law Firm, P.C. BY: Stephen T. O'Hanlon, Esquire PA Bar # 208428 Attorney for Plaintiff 2 Penn Center, Suite 1410 1500 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102

Tel: (267) 546-9066 Fax: (215) 563-6617

steve@ohanlonlawfirm.com